metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Bis(2-aminobenzonitrile)tetraaguacobalt(II) dichloride

Zong-Ling Ru and Guo-Xi Wang*

Department of Chemical & Environmental Engineering, Anyang Institute of Technology, Anyang 455000, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: aywgx@yahoo.com.cn

Received 7 November 2009; accepted 23 November 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.034; wR factor = 0.072; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.4.

In the crystal structure of the title compound, $[Co(C_7H_6N_2)_2 (H_2O)_4$]Cl₂, the Co^{II} cation lies on an inversion center and is coordinated by two 2-aminobenzonitrile ligands and four water molecules in a distorted octahedral geometry. The Cl⁻ counter-anion links with the complex cations via $O-H \cdots Cl$ and N-H···Cl hydrogen bonding. Intermolecular O-H···N hydrogen bonding links the complex cations, forming supramolecular chains running along the b axis.

Related literature

For the chemistry of nitrile derivatives, see: Jin et al. (1994); Brewis et al. (2003). For a related structure, see: Fu & Zhao (2007).



Experimental

Crystal data [Co(C7H6N2)2(H2O)4]Cl2 $M_r = 438.17$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 12.492 (3) Å b = 6.5864 (13) Å c = 12.608 (3) Å $\beta = 109.24 (3)^{\circ}$

V = 979.4 (3) Å³ Z = 2Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\mu = 1.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 K $0.35\,\times\,0.30\,\times\,0.15$ mm

Data collection

Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer 9255 measured reflections Absorption correction: multi-scan 2227 independent reflections (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2005) 1872 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $T_{\min} = 0.732, T_{\max} = 0.871$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.038$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.034$	4 restraints
$wR(F^2) = 0.072$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.13	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
2227 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
115 parameters	

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Co1 - O1W	2.0899 (14)	Co1-N1	2.1566 (15)
Co1 - O2W	2.0550 (13)		

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
O1W−H1WA···Cl1 ⁱ	0.84	2.33	3.1600 (16)	170
O1W−H1WB···Cl1 ⁱⁱ	0.86	2.27	3.1099 (15)	167
O2W−H2WA···N2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.91	1.99	2.868 (2)	162
$O2W-H2WB\cdots Cl1^{iv}$	0.88	2.27	3.1438 (17)	178
$N2 - H2B \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl1^{v}$	0.92	2.53	3.4433 (18)	172

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y - 1, z; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x, y - 1, z; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{3}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}; (v) - x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1.$

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2005); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

This work was supported by a start-up grant from Anyang Institute of Technology, China.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: XU2671).

References

- Brewis, M., Helliwell, M. & McKeown, N. B. (2003). Tetrahedron, 59, 3863-3872
- Fu, D.-W. & Zhao, H. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, o3206.
- Jin, Z., Nolan, K., McArthur, C. R., Lever, A. B. P. & Leznoff, C. C. (1994). J. Organomet. Chem. 468, 205-212.
- Rigaku (2005). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m1688 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809050272]

Bis(2-aminobenzonitrile)tetraaquacobalt(II) dichloride

Z.-L. Ru and G.-X. Wang

Comment

Nitrile derivatives have found wide range of applications in industry and coordination chemistry as ligands. For example, phthalonitriles have been used as starting materials for phthalocyanines (Jin *et al.*, 1994), which are important components for dyes, pigments, gas sensors, optical limiters and liquid crystals, and which are also used in medicine, as singlet oxygen photosensitisers for photodynamic therapy (Brewis *et al.*, 2003). Recently, we have reported a few benzonitrile compounds (Fu & Zhao, 2007). As an extension of our work on the structural characterization, we report here the crystal structure of the title compound tetra-aqua-bis(2-aminobenzonitrile)-cobalt(II) dichloride.

The crystal data show that in the title compound, the Co(II) lies on an inversion center. The distorted octahedral Co(II) environment contains two N atoms from two planar *trans*-related 2-aminobenzonitrile ligands in the axial positions and four aqua O atoms in the equatorial plane. In the crystal, O—H…Cl, N—H…Cl and O—H…N hydrogen bonds generate an infinite two-dimensional network (Fig.1).

Experimental

A mixture of 2-aminobenzonitrile (0.1 mmol) and $CoCl_2$ (0.1 mmol) and water (1 ml) sealed in a glass tube were maintained at 343 K. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after 5 d.

Refinement

H atoms attached to C atoms were located geometrically and treated as riding with C—H = 0.93 Å, $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. H atoms bonded to O and N atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined with distance restraints of O—H = 0.85±0.03 and N—H = 0.89±0.03 Å, $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O,N)$.

Figures



Fig. 1. A view of the title compound with the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids were drawn at the 30% probability level.

Bis(2-aminobenzonitrile)tetraaquacobalt(II) dichloride

Crystal data
[Co(C7H6N2)2(H2O)4]Cl2
$M_r = 438.17$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$

F(000) = 450 $D_x = 1.486 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

supplementary materials

Hall symbol: -P 2yn *a* = 12.492 (3) Å *b* = 6.5864 (13) Å c = 12.608 (3) Å $\beta = 109.24 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 979.4 (3) Å³ Z = 2

Date

Data collection	
Rigaku Mercury2 diffractometer	2227 independer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1872 reflections
graphite	$R_{\rm int} = 0.038$
Detector resolution: 13.6612 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{m}}$
ω scan	$h = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2005)	$k = -8 \longrightarrow 8$
$T_{\min} = 0.732, T_{\max} = 0.871$	$l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
9255 measured reflections	

Refinement

structure-invariant direct
on: difference Fourier map
erred from neighbouring
ined
$P^{2} + 0.2674P$]

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

sup-2

Cell parameters from 1872 reflections $\theta = 3.4 - 27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KBlock, red $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

nt reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $hin = 3.4^{\circ}$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
Col	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000	0.02435 (11)
Cl1	0.62659 (4)	0.99536 (7)	0.26701 (4)	0.04265 (15)
O1W	-0.10877 (11)	0.0642 (2)	0.33800 (10)	0.0348 (3)
H1WA	-0.1770	0.0308	0.3213	0.052*
H1WB	-0.1014	0.1829	0.3137	0.052*
N2	0.26587 (14)	0.6024 (3)	0.55539 (14)	0.0406 (4)
H2A	0.2560	0.4991	0.5944	0.061*
H2B	0.2997	0.7116	0.5990	0.061*
O2W	0.03539 (12)	-0.2770 (2)	0.44423 (12)	0.0469 (4)
H2WA	0.1036	-0.3409	0.4710	0.070*
H2WB	-0.0087	-0.3427	0.3854	0.070*
C7	0.33808 (16)	0.3068 (3)	0.33515 (15)	0.0366 (4)
H7	0.3225	0.1808	0.3001	0.044*
C2	0.28925 (14)	0.3621 (3)	0.41754 (14)	0.0281 (4)
C3	0.31432 (14)	0.5491 (3)	0.47396 (15)	0.0292 (4)
N1	0.14159 (13)	0.1374 (2)	0.46523 (13)	0.0365 (4)
C5	0.43102 (16)	0.6305 (4)	0.35985 (18)	0.0451 (5)
Н5	0.4774	0.7220	0.3393	0.054*
C4	0.38513 (16)	0.6849 (3)	0.44191 (17)	0.0389 (5)
H4	0.4012	0.8116	0.4760	0.047*
C1	0.20887 (15)	0.2307 (3)	0.44319 (15)	0.0297 (4)
C6	0.40921 (17)	0.4417 (4)	0.30739 (17)	0.0436 (5)
Н6	0.4424	0.4067	0.2539	0.052*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Col	0.02442 (18)	0.02295 (18)	0.02613 (18)	-0.00363 (13)	0.00896 (14)	-0.00016 (13)
Cl1	0.0385 (3)	0.0368 (3)	0.0443 (3)	-0.0048 (2)	0.0024 (2)	-0.0060 (2)
O1W	0.0330 (7)	0.0356 (7)	0.0326 (7)	-0.0032 (6)	0.0067 (6)	0.0048 (6)
N2	0.0416 (9)	0.0438 (10)	0.0384 (9)	-0.0046 (8)	0.0157 (8)	-0.0119 (8)
O2W	0.0411 (8)	0.0367 (8)	0.0517 (9)	0.0072 (6)	0.0001 (7)	-0.0150 (7)
C7	0.0305 (10)	0.0490 (12)	0.0309 (10)	0.0002 (9)	0.0110 (8)	-0.0040 (9)
C2	0.0214 (8)	0.0363 (10)	0.0270 (9)	-0.0044 (8)	0.0084 (7)	0.0020 (8)
C3	0.0222 (9)	0.0344 (10)	0.0284 (9)	0.0000 (7)	0.0049 (7)	0.0017 (7)
N1	0.0336 (8)	0.0413 (9)	0.0365 (9)	-0.0094 (8)	0.0139 (7)	0.0002 (7)
C5	0.0287 (10)	0.0601 (14)	0.0461 (12)	-0.0085 (10)	0.0117 (9)	0.0209 (11)
C4	0.0295 (10)	0.0354 (10)	0.0467 (12)	-0.0068 (8)	0.0058 (9)	0.0047 (9)
C1	0.0286 (9)	0.0333 (10)	0.0270 (9)	-0.0031 (8)	0.0089 (8)	-0.0022 (7)
C6	0.0325 (10)	0.0703 (15)	0.0325 (11)	0.0001 (10)	0.0167 (9)	0.0073 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Co1—O1W	2.0899 (14)	C7—C6	1.381 (3)

supplementary materials

Co1—O1W ⁱ	2.0899 (14)	1	C7—C2		1.415 (2)
Co1—O2W	2.0550 (13)	1	С7—Н7		0.9300
Co1—O2W ⁱ	2.0550 (13)	1	C2—C3		1.405 (3)
Co1—N1	2.1566 (15)	1	C2—C1		1.441 (2)
Co1—N1 ⁱ	2.1566 (15)	1	C3—C4		1.408 (3)
O1W—H1WA	0.8377		N1—C1		1.147 (2)
O1W—H1WB	0.8551		C5—C4		1.385 (3)
N2—C3	1.398 (2)		C5—C6		1.392 (3)
N2—H2A	0.8715		С5—Н5		0.9300
N2—H2B	0.9196		C4—H4		0.9300
O2W—H2WA	0.9097		С6—Н6		0.9300
O2W—H2WB	0.8784				
O2W—Co1—O2W ¹	180.00 (8)		Co1—O2W—H2WB		125.5
O2W—Co1—O1W	89.38 (5)		H2WA—O2W—H2WB		109.6
O2W ⁱ —Co1—O1W	90.62 (5)		C6—C7—C2		119.31 (19)
O2W—Co1—O1W ⁱ	90.62 (5)		С6—С7—Н7		120.3
O2W ⁱ —Co1—O1W ⁱ	89.38 (5)		С2—С7—Н7		120.3
O1W—Co1—O1W ⁱ	180.00 (5)		C3—C2—C7		121.28 (16)
O2W—Co1—N1	91.13 (6)		C3—C2—C1		117.92 (15)
O2W ⁱ —Co1—N1	88.87 (6)		C7—C2—C1		120.75 (17)
O1W—Co1—N1	91.66 (6)		N2—C3—C2		120.91 (16)
O1W ⁱ —Co1—N1	88.34 (6)		N2—C3—C4		121.11 (17)
O2W—Co1—N1 ⁱ	88.87 (6)		C2—C3—C4		117.90 (17)
O2W ⁱ —Co1—N1 ⁱ	91.13 (6)		C1—N1—Co1		171.82 (16)
O1W—Co1—N1 ⁱ	88.34 (6)		C4—C5—C6		121.43 (18)
O1W ⁱ —Co1—N1 ⁱ	91.66 (6)		C4—C5—H5		119.3
N1—Co1—N1 ⁱ	180.0		С6—С5—Н5		119.3
Co1—O1W—H1WA	118.2		C5—C4—C3		120.27 (19)
Co1—O1W—H1WB	115.0		С5—С4—Н4		119.9
H1WA—O1W—H1WB	111.8		C3—C4—H4		119.9
C3—N2—H2A	113.3		N1—C1—C2		175.48 (19)
C3—N2—H2B	114.3		C7—C6—C5		119.73 (18)
H2A—N2—H2B	113.3		С7—С6—Н6		120.1
Co1—O2W—H2WA	124.4		С5—С6—Н6		120.1
Symmetry codes: (1) $-x$, $-y$, $-z+1$.					
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)					
D—H…A		<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
O1W—H1WA…Cl1 ⁱⁱ		0.84	2.33	3.1600 (16)	170.
O1W—H1WB…Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ		0.86	2.27	3.1099 (15)	167.
O2W—H2WA…N2 ^{iv}		0.91	1.99	2.868 (2)	162.
O2W—H2WB…Cl1 ^v		0.88	2.27	3.1438 (17)	178.
N2—H2B····Cl1 ^{vi}		0.92	2.53	3.4433 (18)	172.

Symmetry codes: (ii) x-1, y-1, z; (iii) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) x, y-1, z; (v) -x+1/2, y-3/2, -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.



Fig. 1